



Cambridge International AS & A Level

PHYSICS

9702/33

Paper 3 Advanced Practical Skills 1

February/March 2022

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 40

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the February/March 2022 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of **8** printed pages.

PUBLISHED**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Science-Specific Marking Principles

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | Examiners should consider the context and scientific use of any keywords when awarding marks. Although keywords may be present, marks should not be awarded if the keywords are used incorrectly. |
| 2 | The examiner should not choose between contradictory statements given in the same question part, and credit should not be awarded for any correct statement that is contradicted within the same question part. Wrong science that is irrelevant to the question should be ignored. |
| 3 | Although spellings do not have to be correct, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. ethane / ethene, glucagon / glycogen, refraction / reflection). |
| 4 | The error carried forward (ecf) principle should be applied, where appropriate. If an incorrect answer is subsequently used in a scientifically correct way, the candidate should be awarded these subsequent marking points. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted. |
| 5 | <p><u>'List rule' guidance</u></p> <p>For questions that require <i>n</i> responses (e.g. State two reasons ...):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The response should be read as continuous prose, even when numbered answer spaces are provided.• Any response marked <i>ignore</i> in the mark scheme should not count towards <i>n</i>.• Incorrect responses should not be awarded credit but will still count towards <i>n</i>.• Read the entire response to check for any responses that contradict those that would otherwise be credited. Credit should not be awarded for any responses that are contradicted within the rest of the response. Where two responses contradict one another, this should be treated as a single incorrect response.• Non-contradictory responses after the first <i>n</i> responses may be ignored even if they include incorrect science. |

6 Calculation specific guidance

Correct answers to calculations should be given full credit even if there is no working or incorrect working, **unless** the question states 'show your working'.

For questions in which the number of significant figures required is not stated, credit should be awarded for correct answers when rounded by the examiner to the number of significant figures given in the mark scheme. This may not apply to measured values.

For answers given in standard form (e.g. $a \times 10^n$) in which the convention of restricting the value of the coefficient (a) to a value between 1 and 10 is not followed, credit may still be awarded if the answer can be converted to the answer given in the mark scheme.

Unless a separate mark is given for a unit, a missing or incorrect unit will normally mean that the final calculation mark is not awarded. Exceptions to this general principle will be noted in the mark scheme.

7 Guidance for chemical equations

Multiples / fractions of coefficients used in chemical equations are acceptable unless stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

State symbols given in an equation should be ignored unless asked for in the question or stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|-----------------|--|--------------|
| 1(a)(i) | Value of F to nearest mm and in range 6.5 to 8.5 cm | 1 |
| 1(a)(ii) | a and b , with unit | 1 |
| | Correct calculation of y | 1 |
| 1(b) | Six sets of readings of h and y with correct trend and without help scores 4 marks, five sets scores 3 marks etc. | 4 |
| | Range: $h_{\max} \geq 18.0$ cm | 1 |
| | Column headings: Each column heading must contain a quantity and a unit where appropriate. The presentation of quantity and unit must conform to accepted scientific convention e.g. h / cm . | 1 |
| | Consistency: All values of h and a and b must be given to the nearest mm | 1 |
| 1(c)(i) | Axes: Sensible scales are used, no awkward scales (e.g. 3:10) Scales are chosen so that the plotted points occupy at least half the graph grid in both x and y directions Axes are labelled with the quantity which is being plotted. Scale markings are no more than 2 cm (one large square) apart. | 1 |
| | Plotting of points: All observations in the table are plotted on the grid. Diameters of plotted points are less than half a small square (no blobs). Points are plotted to an accuracy of half a small square in both x and y directions. | 1 |
| | Quality: All points in the table must be plotted (at least 5) for this mark to be awarded, and trend of points must have a negative gradient. It must be possible to draw a straight line that is within ± 0.5 cm on the y axis of all plotted points. | 1 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|-----------|--|----------|
| 1(c)(ii) | Line of best fit: Judged by balance of all points on the grid (at least 5) about the candidate's line. There must be an even distribution of points either side of the line along the full length. One anomalous point is allowed only if clearly indicated (i.e. circled or labelled) by the candidate. There must be at least 5 points left after the anomalous point is discarded. Lines must not be kinked or thicker than half a square. | 1 |
| 1(c)(iii) | Gradient: Sign of gradient matches graph. The hypotenuse of the triangle used is greater than half the length of the drawn line. Method of calculation is correct, not $\Delta x / \Delta y$. Both read-offs must be accurate to half a small square in both the x and y directions. | 1 |
| | y-intercept: Either Correct read-off from a point on the line substituted into $y = mx + c$ or an equivalent expression, with read-off accurate to half a small square in both x and y directions. Or Intercept read directly from the graph, with read-off at $h = \text{zero}$ accurate to half a small square in y direction. | 1 |
| 1(d) | P equal to candidate's gradient, and Q equal to candidate's intercept. Values must not be written as fractions. | 1 |
| | Units for P and Q correct and consistent with value (e.g. no unit for P , cm for Q) | 1 |
| 1(e) | Correct calculation of ρ , with correct unit | 1 |
| | Value of ρ on answer line given to 2 or 3 s.f. | 1 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 2(a)(i) | All raw values of d to nearest mm. | 1 |
| | Evidence of repeat readings for d . | 1 |
| 2(a)(ii) | Absolute uncertainty of 2 to 5 mm and correct method of calculation to obtain percentage uncertainty in d . If several readings have been taken, then the absolute uncertainty can be half the range (but not zero if values are equal) provided the working is clearly shown. | 1 |
| 2(b) | $n = 1$ | 1 |
| | Value for T in range 0.35 to 0.55 s, with unit. | 1 |
| | At least two measurements of at least $5T$. | 1 |
| 2(c) | (second d) \div (first d) in range 0.85 to 0.95 | 1 |
| | Second value for T . | 1 |
| | Quality: second T longer than first T . | 1 |
| 2(d)(i) | Two values of k calculated correctly. | 1 |
| 2(d)(ii) | Justification for sig. fig. in k linked to sig. fig. in d <u>and</u> T | 1 |
| 2(e) | Calculation of percentage difference between candidate's two k values. | 1 |
| | Comparison of percentage difference with 20% leading to a consistent conclusion | |
| 2(f)(i) | Two k values are not enough to draw a valid conclusion Difficult to roll the clay into a sphere / diameter of sphere varies Parallax error when measuring d Clay covers some coils as well as the joint Difficult to judge the start and/or end of an oscillation Time period is <u>short</u> so it is <u>difficult to count</u> the oscillations Some horizontal oscillation as well as vertical 4 max | 4 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|-----------------|--|--------------|
| 2(f)(ii) | Take more readings <u>and</u> plot a graph / calculate more k values and <u>compare</u> Improved method to make a perfect sphere Improved method of measuring d , e.g. use calipers / measure between blocks Method to prevent touching coils, e.g. denser material / improved shape / improved mounting method Put a fiducial mark at the centre of the oscillation Use video with timer in view / video and review frame by frame 4 max | 4 |