



Cambridge International AS Level

SPANISH LANGUAGE

8685/21

Paper 2 Reading and Writing

October/November 2020

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 70

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2020 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of **19** printed pages.

PUBLISHED**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.


GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

1 General Marking Notes	
Question 1	Enter a mark of 1, 0 or NR as appropriate for each item ((a), (b), (c), etc.) in the mark input box. Annotate the script where necessary.
Question 2	Enter a mark of 1, 0 or NR as appropriate for each item ((a), (b), (c), etc.) in the mark input box. Annotate the script where necessary.
Questions 3 and 4	<p><u>Content marks</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annotate each correct point with a tick OR a tick + BOD. • Use a cross or NBOD as necessary. • Use the highlighting tool to highlight any words which are lifted. • The number of ticks for each item ((a), (b), (c), etc.) will be added up for you and the total will appear beneath the tick annotation in the toolbar. Enter the mark (or NR as appropriate) for each item in the mark input box. <p><u>Quality of Language Mark</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Click on 3L or 4L as appropriate in the mark input box. If any items have scored zero or NR for content, insert an on-page comment (text box) after the last item in the question and type in the details of the Quality of Language mark, e.g.: <div data-bbox="1973 732 2033 807" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; margin-left: 10px;">T</div> <p style="text-align: center;">5–2 = 3</p> <p>OR</p> <p style="text-align: center;">min 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Then enter the Quality of Language mark in the mark input box for Question 3L / Question 4L. • If no adjustment needs to be made to the Quality of Language mark, enter the mark in the mark input box without annotating the script.

<p>Question 5</p>	<p>If the answer exceeds 160 words, insert the vertical wavy line <u>after</u> the 160th word to show the end of the response to be marked. </p> <p>Summary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annotate each correct point with a tick OR tick + BOD up to a maximum of 10 ticks. • Use NBOD as necessary. • The number of ticks will be added up for you and the total will appear beneath the tick annotation in the toolbar. Enter the mark (or NR as appropriate) in the mark input box for Question 5(a). <p>Personal response</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enter the mark for Personal response in the mark input box for Question 5(b). • NB if the Personal Response cannot be marked because it comes after the 160-word limit award a mark of 0, not NR. <p>Quality of Language</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enter the mark for Quality of Language in the mark input box for Question 5L.
<p>1.2 Standardisation sample: to be completed and submitted by <u>xxx</u>. The Principal Examiner will get in touch by phone or scoris messaging system to provide feedback. Although you will be able to download about 20 live scripts once you have submitted your Standardisation sample, you will not be able to submit marks for these scripts or download further scripts until the Principal Examiner has approved your marking.</p>	
<p>1.3 Batch 1: 40% of your scripts to be marked by xxx.</p>	
<p>1.4 Batch 2: all scripts to be marked by xxx.</p>	
<p>1.5 Please send your Assistant Examiner report to the Principal Examiner by the Batch 2 deadline (by email).</p>	
<p>1.6 Once the marking ‘for real’ starts, scoris depends on the Principal Examiner being able to constantly monitor your marking and feed back to you about marking errors and misinterpretations of the Mark Scheme so that you can take corrective action. You must not, therefore, mark more than 10 scripts per day for the first week of marking.</p>	

2 General Marking Principles

2.1 Please note that it is not possible to list all acceptable alternatives in the Detailed Mark Scheme provided on the following pages. You will need to consider all alternative answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts, make a decision on whether they communicate the required elements, in consultation with the Principal Examiner if necessary, and award marks accordingly.

2.2 Crossing out:

- (a) If a candidate changes his/her mind over an answer and crosses out an attempt, award a mark if the final attempt is correct.
- (b) If a candidate crosses out an answer to a whole question but makes no second attempt at it, mark the crossed out work.

2.3 Annotation used in marking:

- (a) BOD = Benefit of the Doubt and is used to indicate material considered by the Examiner and judged to be more correct than incorrect: the benefit of the doubt is given to the candidate and the mark is awarded.
- (b) NBOD = No Benefit of the Doubt and is used to indicate material considered by the Examiner and judged to be more incorrect than correct: the benefit of the doubt is **not** given to the candidate and the mark is **not** awarded.
- (c) caret = to indicate where something which is key to the response is missing.

2.4 No response and '0' marks

There is a NR (No Response) option in **scoris**.

Award NR (No Response):

- If there is nothing written at all in the answer space or
- If there is only a comment which does not in any way relate to the question being asked (e.g. 'can't do' or 'don't know') or
- If there is only a mark which isn't an attempt at the question (e.g. a dash, a question mark).

Award 0:

- If there is any attempt that earns no credit. This could, for example, include the candidate copying all or some of the question, or any working that does not earn any marks, whether crossed out or not.

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Responses
Question 1			
Accept spelling errors in transcription. Accept minor omissions in the body of the phrase.		Disallow additional words or omissions at start or finish of phrase.	
1(a)	los perdigones que no alcanzan su objetivo	1	
1(b)	los estudios han demostrado intoxicación	1	
1(c)	tomar los primeros pasos para erradicar	1	<i>omission</i> tomar...
1(d)	es frecuente su uso ilegal	1	
1(e)	han rechazado frontalmente	1	...la

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Responses
Question 2			
The following are examples of the way in which the answers could be expressed. Answers should fit into the original text, retain the same meaning and contain all the elements of the phrase to be re-worked.			
2(a)	porque han / habían ingerido / ingirieron el plomo / porque el plomo fue ingerido <i>allow</i> consumir	1	
2(b)	piedras que (les) hacen falta	1	hace
2(c)	que no son / están consideradas (como) humedal(-es)	1	
2(d)	no hace / produce / causa tanto daño como / que no daña tanto como es dañino, pero no tanto como	1	no hacen... es tanto menos dañino... no es tanto dañino como
2(e)	sería necesario que todo el mundo cambiara / -ase / -e / tuviera / -ese / tenga que cambiar (obligatoriamente)	1	sería necesario que obliguen a todo el mundo a cambiar

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Responses
Question 3			
Note: Lifting = more than 4 consecutive words taken from the text and will usually invalidate answers unless further original explanation is offered.			
3(a)	¿Qué consecuencias indeseables resultan de la caza en España? (párrafo 1)	3	
	muchas toneladas de plomo son dejadas atrás	1	
	perecen 60.000 aves <u>al año</u> por causa de ingerirlo	1	<i>generalisations eg</i> ha causado que miles de aves hayan muerto
	el efecto tóxico perdura <u>más de 300 / muchos etc</u> años en el suelo	1	
3(b)	¿Cuáles son las diferentes especies que sufren debido a los efectos del plomo? y ¿por qué? (párrafo 2)	3	
	aves acuáticas y aves de presa / águilas (<i>both needed</i>)	1	
	comen el plomo / los perdigones / confunden los perdigones con piedras	1	
	comen animales que han ingerido munición	1	
3(c)	¿Por qué favorece Ignacio Burgaz la prohibición del uso del plomo? (párrafo 3)	3	
	el plomo causa muchas muertes de aves acuáticas / en los humedales	1	
	algunas especies de patos corren el riesgo de <u>extinguirse</u>	1	
	ayudaría a que la caza fuera sostenible	1	

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Responses
3(d)	¿Cómo critica Burgaz la legislación vigente en los humedales? (párrafo 4)	2	
	no se observa en todo el humedal / es frecuente el uso ilegal del plomo	1	
	en las zonas vecinas / los arrozales disparan muchos perdigones	1	
3(e)	¿Por qué rechazan los cazadores la prohibición europea y los materiales alternativos sugeridos? (párrafo 5)	4	
	perjudicaría empresas / empleos	1	
	dicen que el plomo de los perdigones causa menos daño que el plomo de pintura / fertilizantes / combustibles / el plomo usado en otros productos	1	
	usar acero en vez de plomo es más peligroso	1	
	todos tendrían que comprar nuevas armas	1	

Quality of Language – Accuracy

5 Very good Consistently accurate. Only very few errors of minor significance. Accurate use of more complex structures (verb forms, tenses, prepositions, word order).
4 Good Higher incidence of error than above, but clearly has a sound grasp of the grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Some capacity to use accurately more complex structures.
3 Sound Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and regular verbs mostly correctly formed. Some problems in forming correct agreement of adjectives. Difficulty with irregular verbs, use of prepositions.
2 Below average Persistent errors in tense and verb forms. Prepositions frequently incorrect. Recurrent errors in agreement of adjectives.
0–1 Poor Little or no evidence of grammatical awareness. Most constructions incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repeated error.

Additional marking guidance for Quality of Language

The five marks available for Quality of Language are awarded **globally** for the whole performance on each set of answers.

A concise answer, containing all mark-bearing components for Content is scored on the full range of marks for language, i.e. length does not determine the Quality of Language mark.

Answers scoring 0 for Content cannot contribute to the overall Quality of Language mark.

Identify the answer(s) scoring 0 for Content in the whole set of answers. Then add together the number of Content marks available for each of these questions and reduce the Quality of Language mark according to the following table:

Total Content marks available on questions where a candidate scores 0	Reduce Quality of Language mark by:
2–3	1
4–5	2
6–7	3
8–14	4
15	5

Note: A minimum of one mark for Quality of Language should be awarded if there are any Content marks at all (i.e. 0 Quality of Language marks only if 0 Content marks).

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Answers
Question 4			
Note: Lifting = more than 4 consecutive words taken from the text and will usually invalidate answers unless further original explanation is offered.			
4(a)	¿Cómo afecta a la fauna la caza furtiva en el Ecuador? (párrafo 1)	3	
	los animales son exportados ilegalmente	1	
	son comercializados <u>dentro del país</u>	1	
	la biodiversidad es amenazada	1	medioambiente
4(b)	¿Qué limitaciones se imponen en la caza? y ¿qué problema hay? (párrafo 2)	3	
	debe ser para subsistir	1	
	solo se permite cazar a la gente indígena <u>en su territorio</u>	1	
	hay demasiada gente (indígena) / hay más demanda que oferta	1	
4(c)	¿Qué consecuencias tiene la comercialización de la carne de caza? (párrafo 3)	2	
	(2 from 3) hasta tres años de cárcel	1	
	se disminuyen los números de los animales <u>que se cazan</u>	1	
	y también sus depredadores por tener menos que comer	1	

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Answers
4(d)	Según Berón, ¿para qué cazan a los jaguares algunos cazadores? y ¿por qué es difícil combatir esto? (párrafo 4)	3	
	para sacar fotos para poner en la red / para subirlo / compartirlo en la red	1	
	solo se puede castigar si se sorprende en el acto / momento <u>de matar, etc.</u>	1	
	solo son castigados por delitos menores	1	
4(e)	¿Qué acciones está tomando el Ministerio para reducir la caza ilegal en sus áreas protegidas? (párrafo 5)	4	
	emplear a <u>más</u> guardaparques	1	
	enseñar a los empleados cómo atrapar eficientemente	1	
	identificar los lugares donde los animales son traficados	1	
	acelerar / facilitar el proceso de administrar sanciones	1	

Quality of Language – Accuracy**5 Very good**

Consistently accurate. Only very few errors of minor significance. Accurate use of more complex structures (verb forms, tenses, prepositions, word order).

4 Good

Higher incidence of error than above, but clearly has a sound grasp of the grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Some capacity to use accurately more complex structures.

3 Sound

Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and regular verbs mostly correctly formed. Some problems in forming correct agreement of adjectives. Difficulty with irregular verbs, use of prepositions.

2 Below average

Persistent errors in tense and verb forms. Prepositions frequently incorrect. Recurrent errors in agreement of adjectives.

0–1 Poor

Little or no evidence of grammatical awareness. Most constructions incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repeated error.

Additional marking guidance for Quality of Language

The five marks available for Quality of Language are awarded **globally** for the whole performance on each set of answers.


A concise answer, containing all mark-bearing components for Content is scored on the full range of marks for language, i.e. length does not determine the Quality of Language mark.

Answers scoring 0 for Content cannot contribute to the overall Quality of Language mark.

Identify the answer(s) scoring 0 for Content in the whole set of answers. Then add together the number of Content marks available for each of these questions and reduce the Quality of Language mark according to the following table:

Total Content marks available on questions where a candidate scores 0	Reduce Quality of Language mark by:
2–3	1
4–5	2
6–7	3
8–14	4
15	5

Note: A minimum of one mark for Quality of Language should be awarded if there are any Content marks at all (i.e. 0 Quality of Language marks only if 0 Content marks).

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Responses
<p>Question 5</p> <p>Length of 5(a) + 5(b) (Summary and Personal Response)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Examiners make a rough estimate of the length by a quick calculation of the number of words on a line. If the piece is clearly too long, calculate the length more precisely. Insert the vertical wavy line <u>after</u> the 160th word to show the end of the response to be marked.  <p>Content marks – Summary</p> <p>Indicate with a tick in the body of the text the point being rewarded. Do not penalise ‘lifting’ for content marks in this exercise, but excessive reliance on the text will reduce the language mark.</p> <p>The summary could include the following points (award 1 mark for each point covered up to a maximum of 10 points):</p>			
5(a)	<p>Escriba un resumen de lo que se dice en el Texto 1 y el Texto 2 sobre los problemas actuales asociados con la caza.</p> <p>Text 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> plomo dejado atrás muchas aves intoxicadas / comen plomo / mueren envenenadas plomo queda muchos años en el suelo aves de presa intoxicadas al comer animales con plomo en la carne especies de pato en peligro de extinción caza ilegal en humedales protegidos mucho plomo dejado en zonas vecinas 	10	

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Responses
5(a)	<p>Text 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • caza ilegal • animales exportados / comercializados ilegalmente • la caza amenaza la biodiversidad • demasiada gente puede cazar legalmente / puede comer carne silvestre / la demanda supera la oferta • comercialización ilegal de carne silvestre • poblaciones de animales reducidas • y sus depredadores también • jaguares vistos como premio / para fotos en medios sociales • dificultad para castigar a cazadores de jaguares • creencia que sobran animales para cazar 		

PUBLISHED

Question	Answer	Marks	Not Allowed Responses					
Content marks – Response to the Text								
Mark like a mini-essay according to the variety and interest of the opinions and views expressed, the response to the original text stimulus and the ability to express a personal point of view. Further, more detailed guidance for particular questions will be given to examiners.								
5(b)	<p>¿Es la caza un problema en su país? Dé sus opiniones.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="338 451 1223 1189"> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 451 1223 587"> 5 Very good Varied and interesting ideas, showing an element of flair and imagination, a capacity to express a personal point of view. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 587 1223 751"> 4 Good Not the flair and imagination of the best candidates, but work still shows an ability to express a range of ideas, maintain interest and respond to the issues raised. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 751 1223 887"> 3 Sound A fair level of interest and ideas. May concentrate on a single issue, but there is still a response to ideas in the text. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 887 1223 1054"> 2 Below average Limited range of ideas; rather humdrum. May disregard the element of response to the text, and write a largely unrelated free-composition. </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 1054 1223 1189"> 0–1 Poor Few ideas to offer on the theme. Banal and pedestrian. No element of personal response to the text. Repeated error. </td> </tr> </table>	5 Very good Varied and interesting ideas, showing an element of flair and imagination, a capacity to express a personal point of view.	4 Good Not the flair and imagination of the best candidates, but work still shows an ability to express a range of ideas, maintain interest and respond to the issues raised.	3 Sound A fair level of interest and ideas. May concentrate on a single issue, but there is still a response to ideas in the text.	2 Below average Limited range of ideas; rather humdrum. May disregard the element of response to the text, and write a largely unrelated free-composition.	0–1 Poor Few ideas to offer on the theme. Banal and pedestrian. No element of personal response to the text. Repeated error.	5	
5 Very good Varied and interesting ideas, showing an element of flair and imagination, a capacity to express a personal point of view.								
4 Good Not the flair and imagination of the best candidates, but work still shows an ability to express a range of ideas, maintain interest and respond to the issues raised.								
3 Sound A fair level of interest and ideas. May concentrate on a single issue, but there is still a response to ideas in the text.								
2 Below average Limited range of ideas; rather humdrum. May disregard the element of response to the text, and write a largely unrelated free-composition.								
0–1 Poor Few ideas to offer on the theme. Banal and pedestrian. No element of personal response to the text. Repeated error.								

Quality of Language – Accuracy

5 Very good Consistently accurate. Only very few errors of minor significance. Accurate use of more complex structures (verb forms, tenses, prepositions, word order).
4 Good Higher incidence of error than above, but clearly has a sound grasp of the grammatical elements in spite of lapses. Some capacity to use accurately more complex structures.
3 Sound Fair level of accuracy. Common tenses and regular verbs mostly correctly formed. Some problems in forming correct agreement of adjectives. Difficulty with irregular verbs, use of prepositions.
2 Below average Persistent errors in tense and verb forms. Prepositions frequently incorrect. Recurrent errors in agreement of adjectives.
0–1 Poor Little or no evidence of grammatical awareness. Most constructions incomplete or incorrect. Consistent and repeated error.